

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,416 10/07/2003		Masahiro Katsumura	Q77786	3480	
23373	7590	01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	MION, I	PLLC	VANORE, DAVID A		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2881		

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	٠.	
0	ļ	/	
)	ı		
4	•		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Comments	10/679,416	KATSUMURA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	David A. Vanore	2881						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2005.							
	action is non-final.							
<u>,                                    </u>		secution as to the merits is						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,11 and 17</u> is/are pending in the ap	nlication							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the ap	•							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.	•	,						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement							
or ordinates and subject to restriction under	ciconon requirement.							
Application Papers	•	. *						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are:	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da							

Art Unit: 2881

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 of the response, filed October 11, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 10, now amended to include claims 7-9, 11, and 17 under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Bohlen et al. (USPN 4,370,554).
- 3. The question of whether Items 22-25 are microstructures "on" or attached to a surface of the mask in the Bohlen et al. reference is not clear. It is the opinion of the examiner that it could be argued that items 22-25 are separate structures, as indicated in Fig. 3 for instance, which are then attached to the surface of the mask. However, it is more clearly illustrated that microstructures are attached to the surface of the mask in Fig. 11a and 11b. For the sake of at least clarity, the rejection has been modified to more clearly point out that Bohlen et al. does teach all required limitations. However, this necessitates the withdraw of the previously held final rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/679,416

Art Unit: 2881

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-9, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bohlen et al. (USPN 4,370,554)

Regarding claim 7, Bohlen et al. teaches a beam adjusting sample referred to as a mask (Note Fig. 3 and 6) which is flat like a plate and has at least two adjacent edges orthogonal to each other as view from above. Fig. 3 and 6 is a top down view and clearly shows two adjacent edges. Fig. 11A Items 100A, 100B, 102A, 102B and Fig. 11B Items 113A, 113B, 111, and 112 indicate microstructures mounted on the surface of the

Regarding claim 8, Bohlen et al. teaches the limitations of claim 7 and further teaches that the beam adjusting sample has a through hole penetrating in a thickness direction where apertures 41 in grid 25 extend in a thickness direction as apparent from the top down view of Fig. 3.

Regarding claim 9, as depicted in Fig. 3, two adjacent edges are defined not only by the apertures penetrating the sample, but also at the boundaries of the grid region of the beam adjusting sample of grid 25.

Regarding claim 11, Bohlen et al. teaches all the limitations of claim 10, and further teaches that beam adjusting sample regions 23 and 24 are placed on a silicon wafer 21, the wafer being coated with a metal electrode layer, the electrode layer corresponding to the embodiment where the beam is absorbed and detected at the surface of the beam adjusting sample discussed at Col. 3 Lines 42-53 and Col. 9 Lines 39-62, note further Fig. 11B.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,416

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claim 17, Bohlen et al. teaches all the required limitations of claim 8

Page 4

as pointed out above, and further teaches that through-holes, or apertures, in the beam

adjusting sample are formed at an angle equal to or smaller than 90 degrees relative to

the surface of the sample, note the aperture regions 105a illustrated in Fig. 11A.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David A. Vanore whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A Vanore Patent Examiner Art Unit 2881

dav

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800